# **The Armenian Dialect of Aslanbeg** Bert Vaux Harvard University April 1993

## **0. Introduction**

The following is loosely based on Hrachea Ačařean's *nnu iwn Aslanbēgi Barbarə* ["Study of the Aslanbeg Dialect"], which appeared in the journal *Bazmavēp* in 1898. As the Aslanbeg dialect shows many features of interest to dialectologists and theoretical linguists alike, but Ačařean's original article is written in Armenian and no longer available to the general academic community, I have undertaken this reworking for all those who may benefit from it. All passages taken directly from Ačařean's original article are italicized.

Aslanbeg is located in the northwest corner of Asia Minor, three hours by foot from the cities of Nicomedia and Isnimit (modern Iznik and Izmit, slightly southeast of Istanbul). There are actually three Aslanbegs (each now called 'Aslanbey') in northwest Turkey; though none of these appear on any map of Turkey, the Gazetteer lists their locations as 40.44N 30.48E, 41.46N 33.52E, and 40.42N 30.01E; Jahukyan (1972) places it at roughly 41N 29.5E, near modern Gölcük, on the coast facing Izmit. Until 1915 the village consisted of some 4000 Armenians, whose primary occupations were farming and producing silkworms, grapevines, charcoal, and tobacco. The dialect now appears to be extinct.

At the time of Ačařean's 1898 monograph, Aslanbeg was surrounded by numerous villages of Turkish, Laz, and Circassian refugees, who had been brought there for various reasons. According to Ačařean, the inability of these neighbors to keep steady jobs irritated the industrious and hardworking Armenians of Aslanbeg, and consequently fighting often erupted between Aslanbeg and the neighboring villages. We will see some such squabbling in the text that follows, but first I would like to examine briefly the phonological and morphological features that distinguish the Aslanbeg dialect from standard modern Armenian.

# 1. Phonology

# 1.1. Phonetics and phonemic inventory

The Aslanbeg dialect contains the following phonemic inventory:

i		и			
e		0			
e ä					
	а				
$p^h$			$\check{c}^h$	$k^{\scriptscriptstyle h}$	
$p^h$ b f v m	d	j	$\stackrel{\check{c}^h}{\check{J}}_{\check{S}}$	g	
f	S	-	š	-	h
v	Z		ž	К	
m	n				
	r l	у			

# **1.1.1. Vowels**

The phoneme u is always realized phonetically as  $[\ddot{u}]$ ; similarly, o is pronounced  $[\ddot{o}]$ , except in learnedisms. Unconditioned fronting of u is fairly common (cf. French, Greek, Welsh), as is

fronting of o to  $\ddot{o}$  (Ačařean (1911:174) mentions that the dialects of Shabin-Karahisar, Sebastia, and Eudokia also show this development), but simultaneous fronting of o and u is rare, and normally only occurs to maximize phonetic distinction from p and v (e.g. in Mongolian and some southern American English dialects; see Vaux 1993). Since the Aslanbeg dialect does not have p and v phonemes, the motivation for this change is unclear. According to Ačařean, each is pronounced with an offglide one degree lower in height: [ $\ddot{u}\ddot{o}$ ], [ $\ddot{o}a$ ]. Throughout this paper I follow Ačařean's transcription for ease of reference.

As in the dialects of Yoghun-Oluk, Kabusie, Shamaxi, and Aramo, the phoneme *a* is nasalised when preceded or followed by a nasal consonant (including across word boundaries—e.g. line 56 *allã nä*)<sup>1</sup>; similar processes are found in the dialects of Hamshen and Agn (where *a* becomes *o* before tautosyllabic nasals), Avestan (where *a* is nasalized by following tautosyllabic nasals), and many other languages.

(XX)	Aslanbeg	standard Armenian	gloss
	<i>jãmp<sup>h</sup>ãn</i>	čanabarh-ə, jampʰa-n	road-def.
	gü kʰãm	ku gam	I come
	vä əmegãn `jãmpʰa gel l ã²	ve ə miasin jamp <sup>h</sup> a gellen	the six set out together

The third plural form gel  $l \tilde{a}$ , in which  $\tilde{a}$  occurs in a non-nasal environment, seems at first blush to suggest that the nasalized  $\tilde{a}$  is phonemic in Aslanbeg, rather than being an allophone of /a/. However, examination of the Aslanbeg verbal system reveals that the third plural ending is /-n/; thus, the underlying form of [gel  $l \tilde{a}$ ] must end in an /-n/ as well, since it is a third plural form. I assume that the underlying representation of this form is /gu-ell-a-n/; the underlying /n/ nasalizes the preceding /a/, and then disappears. Given this analysis, we can maintain our claim that  $\tilde{a}$  is not a phoneme in Aslanbeg.

Aslanbeg is distinctive in having a second low vowel, represented by Ačařean as  $\langle a a \rangle$ , which is also nasalized when adjacent to nasal consonants. This a, which Ačařean describes as a low vowel closer to a closed *a* than to  $\ddot{a}$ , articulated somewhere between *a*, *e*, and a, has diverse origins; I have represented all forms occurring in Ačařean's monograph in (1):

(1)	Aslanbeg	standard Armenian	gloss
a. oral	əannüg	Onnik	personal name
	gük <sup>1</sup> əa	k <sup>h</sup> u ga	(s)he comes
b. nasal	nəã	<i>ne</i> (Istanbul dialect < Tk)	if
	həməã	Tk. ama	but
	$k^h$ nə $ ilde{a}$	gna	(s)he comes
	(v)inəã	inə(n)	9

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Though Ačařean states that all a's adjacent to nasal consonants are nasalized, but in his text we find numerous counterexamples, which may be typographical oversights or perhaps something more significant: p. 13 ãnaray (\*ãnãray), lan, jan; p. 14 ærmay; p. 16 gameß, ¬anæa; l. 19 ißna; l. 89 hezna÷. In several of these cases, we can say that the a was not yet an a at the time nasalization applied, e.g. lan < layn 'wide', jan < jayn 'voice', gameß < gomeß 'bull', ¬anæa < Tk. *konĭa* 'bud'. This is slightly problematic, because nasalization is normally a low-level phonetic phenomenon, which applies after all other rules.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This phrase occurs in sentence 51 of the text, where it is given as  $v\ddot{a} \rightarrow megas \ j \tilde{a} m p^h a$ g ell $\tilde{a}$  (note megas for megan).

əãnji	inji	me-dat.
	(also acc. <i>əãnjis</i> , abl. <i>əã</i>	njimen, instr. əānjimöv)
enünəã	anun-ə	name-def.
k <sup>h</sup> ösü inəã	gołu iwn-ə	theft-def.
dünəã	tun-ə	house-def.
тәã	mə, mi	one, a
Xašdürinəã	Xač <sup>h</sup> atur-in-ə	Xachatur's [thing]
$p^{ m h}$ ü ünə $ ilde{a}$	Tk. <i>bütün</i> + Arm - <i>ə</i>	all-def.

Clearly the status of oral  $\partial a$  is questionable at best; one of its two examples,  $\partial ann \ddot{u}k$ , is probably a typographical error for  $\partial \tilde{a}nn \ddot{u}k$ , as we should expect it to be nasalized by the following *n*. Nasalized  $\partial \tilde{a}$ , on the other hand, is relatively frequent. It appears to be simply a nasalized version of the reduced vowel  $\partial$  (e.g.  $m\partial \tilde{a}$ ,  $in\partial \tilde{a}$  = Standard Western Armenian (SWA)  $m\partial$ ,  $in\partial$ ), which is extremely common in Armenian. If my hypothesis is correct, the rarity of  $\partial a$  has a simple explanation: the non-nasal counterpart of  $\partial \tilde{a}$  is  $\partial$ , so we should not necessarily expect to find any  $\partial a'$ 's.

Returning to the question of nasalization, one immediately wonders why only low vowels are affected (as in many languages,  $\vartheta$  and its nasalized counterpart  $\vartheta \tilde{a}$  appear to act as low vowels); though there is no means of representing this fact within the current model of phonology, it has a relatively straightforward phonetic explanation. The feature [nasal] is acoustically more salient in low vowels; that is to say, a nasalized  $\tilde{a}$  is more perceptually distinct from *a* than a nasalized  $\sim i$  is from *i*, for example, because the lowering of the velar port which characterizes the feature [nasal] produces a low frequency prominence at around 250 Hz, which is quite close to the average first formant values for high vowels such as *i* and *u*, but far removed from the formants of low vowels such as *a* (Lieberman and Blumstein 1988:222, 226). In addition, *i* and *u* require a tight seal of velopharyngeal port, which normally overrides the lowering of the velum produced by adjacent nasal consonants, whereas the velum commonly remains open in the production of *a* adjacent to nasals (Lieberman and Blumstein 1988:124).

Nasal consonants (*m* and *n*) have the additional affect of turning initial *a* into *e* when the following syllable contains *o* or *u* (i.e.  $\ddot{o}$  or  $\ddot{u}$ ). Ačarean cites the following examples:

(2)	Aslanbeg	standard Armenian	gloss
	enüš	anuš	sweet
	enün	anun	name
	enör	anor	their
	enenk <sup>h</sup>	$anenk^h$	they do
	enen	anon	their (3pl.gen/dat)
	enö i	anō i	hungry
	emür	amur	solid

It is not clear to me what causes this development.

Ačarean also mentions that a becomes  $\ddot{a}$  in the following cases:

(3)	Aslanbeg	Standard Armenian	gloss
	вäzär	Łazar	Lazarus
	änigüg	??	?? (also <i>enigüg, änəgüg</i> )
	äŕč <sup>h</sup> i	ařji	before

ämjün	<i>amj(a)</i> (Turkish)	uncle
yär	yard	straw

The fronting of a to  $\ddot{a}$  before r is common in Armenian dialects, and the general phenomenon of r raising vowels is found in many languages; for a discussion of the theoretical motivations underlying these processes, one may consult Prieto 1993.

Original *a* raises further to *e* in cases like the following:

(XX)	Aslanbeg	Standard Armenian	gloss
	ernank <sup>h</sup>	darnank <sup>h</sup>	we (re)turn (subjunctive)
	genin	k-anen (SEA)	they do
	enigüg <sup>3</sup>	??	??

Aslanbeg also shows extensive reduction of a > a, as in most Armenian dialects; Ačařean lists the following forms:

(4)	Aslanbeg	Standard Armenian	gloss
	əbə	hapa	then
	k <sup>h</sup> əni	k <sup>h</sup> ani	how many
	əmmen	amen	every
	əjəb	<i>ajaba</i> (Turkish)	I wonder if
	mənč <sup>h</sup> ig <sup>4</sup>	manč <sup>h</sup> ik	men

In addition, a is generally reduced to a or lost in the second syllable of trisyllabic words, as in Van and numerous other dialects:

(5)  $a > \partial$ 

Aslanbeg	Standard Armenian	gloss
Garəbed	Karapet	Karapet (personal name)
hayrəbed	hayrapet	patriarch
marəbed	mayrapet	abbess
varžəbed	varžapet	professor
var əbed	vardapet	archimandrite
bardəgan	partak <sup>1</sup> an	debtor
Haməzasb	Hamazasp	Hamazasp (personal name)
Baʁdəsar	Bałtasar	Balthasar (personal name)
sargəvak <sup>h</sup>	sargawak <sup>h</sup>	deacon
>Ø		
Adaphag	Standard Armonian	aloga

(6)  $a > \emptyset$ 

Aslanbeg	Standard Armenian	gloss
harsnik <sup>h</sup>	harsanik <sup>h</sup>	wedding
Xašdür	Xač <sup>h</sup> a ur	Xachatur (personal name)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Ačarean also mentions forms *änigüg* and *änəgüg*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> But *manč<sup>h</sup>ig* is also used; this is clearly a borrowing from the literary language, since it fails to show vowel reduction or nasalization of the a.

From the data in (5) and (6) we may infer that Aslanbeg had primary final stress and secondary initial stress at the time that vowel reduction applied. The limited set of data provided by Ačařean does not allow us to determine if there were any other secondary stresses.

The diphthong *ay* becomes *a* in stressed syllables, and *e* in unstressed syllables:

#### (7) stressed

Aslanbeg	Standard Armenian	gloss
lan	layn	wide
an	jayn	voice
aj	ayc	goat
as	ays	this
jar	cayr	peak

(8) unstressed

Aslanbeg	Standard Armenian	gloss
neyil	nayil	look
$ek^hi$	aygi	vineyard
erig	ayrik	masculine
erel	ayrel	burn

Note that this development applies before the application of affixes:

(9)	<i>ayj</i> 'goat' + - <i>u</i> 'genitive'	>	ajü 'goat-gen.' (*ejü)
	ays 'this' + $\bar{o}r$ 'day'	>	asör 'today' (*esör)

Classical Armenian e remains unchanged in initial position and in closed syllables:

(10)	Aslanbeg	Standard Armenian	gloss
	erey	erek	evening
	edö	Petros	Peter
	<i>jermay</i>	čermak	white

There are some exceptions to this generalization in the verbal system; e.g.  $g \ge sir\ddot{a}s$  'you (sg.) love',  $g \ge sir\ddot{a}k^h$  'you (pl.) love',  $sir\ddot{a}$  '(s)he loved',  $v\ddot{a}/v\ddot{a}s$  '6'.

In open syllables, e is pronounced as  $\ddot{a}$ . Ačarean mentions the following examples:

(11)	Aslanbeg	Standard Armenian	gloss
	vä ə	ve -d	6-def.
	äsin	dełin	yellow
	ä	e	is
	iärə	ji-er-ə	the horses

The alternation between e and  $\ddot{a}$  is quite productive, as shown by the following:

(12) godrdär-in 'they are broken' : godrder  $k^h a$  är in 'xx'

As in other dialects, o is unrounded before nasals. Ačarean mentions the following examples:

(13)	Aslanbeg	Standard Armenian	gloss
	ännüg	Onnik <sup>h</sup> (also <i>əannüg</i> )	personal name
	ämüz,	Tk. <i>omuz</i>	shoulder
	k <sup>h</sup> ameš	gomēš	bull
	вапја	Tk. k <sup>h</sup> onja	bud

Though similar processes occur in other dialects (e.g. Agn, Maxudianz 1911), its cause is not clear to me.

The classical diphthong *iw* becomes *ü* in Aslanbeg:

(14)	Aslanbeg	Standard Armenian	gloss
	<i>jü</i> särə	čiwł-er-ə	the branches
	arün	ariwn	blood
	alür	aliwr	flour
(15)	Aslanbeg	Standard Armenian	gloss
	en ü in	endu iwn	madness'
	p <sup>h</sup> arsü in	barjru iwn	height'

It is not clear whether *iw* directly became  $\ddot{u}$ , by merging the [-back] feature of *i* and the [+round] feature of *u*, or first became *u* (as occurred in many other Armenian dialects), and then shared the fate of original *u*'s.

Classical  $\bar{e}$  regularly becomes *i* in the first singular and plural and third plural non-past forms of the first conjugation:

(15)	Aslanbeg	Standard Armenian	gloss
	gə sirim	ku sirem	I love
	güdin	ku uten	they eat
	gə p <sup>ı</sup> ärin	ku beren	they carry
	bidi əsim	piti asem	I will say
	güdink <sup>h</sup>	ku utenk <sup>h</sup>	we eat

In other words, *e* becomes *i* before nasals, as in pre-Armenian (e.g. *hing* '5' < \**penk*<sup>w</sup>*e*) and other Armenian dialects such as Agn and Hamshen.

Classical *i* generally remains unchanged, but reduces to  $\partial$  in the following words:

(16)	Aslanbeg	Standard Armenian	gloss
	ənji	inji	me
	əzərən	z-iren	their
	həva?	hiwand	sick
	həvə?ja	hiwanda ay	I became sick
	ənč <sup>h</sup> ök <sup>h</sup>	inč <sup>h</sup> -ok <sup>h</sup>	until

## **1.1.2.** Consonants

According to Ačařean, the pronunciation of the obstruents approaches that of the Istanbul dialect. The classical voiceless aspirates  $\{p^h, k^h, , \check{c}^h, \}$  remain unchanged, as in all Armenian dialects. As in standard Western Armenian, classical  $\{b, g, d, \check{j}, j\}$  are pronounced as  $[p^h, k^h, , \check{c}^h, ]$ . According to Ačařean, the outcome of the original series  $\{p, k, t, \check{c}, c\}$  "falls between that of Eastern  $\{b, g, d, \check{j}, j\}$  and  $\{p, k, t, \check{c}, c\}$ ", by which he may mean that they are half-voiced.

Aslanbeg shares with many other Armenian dialects (Karin, Sebastia, Akn, etc.; see Muradyan 1972) the development of f from original h before o. Unlike other dialects, however, this change is restricted in Aslanbeg (and in western dialects in general) to the deictic pronouns  $f\ddot{o}s$  'here' (< hos),  $f\ddot{o}$  'there (by you)' (< hod),  $f\ddot{o}n$  'over there' (< hon).

As in all current forms of Armenian, classical l, which is generally assumed to have been a dark (i.e. [+back]) l, is pronounced as [ $\varkappa$ ] in Aslanbeg. Interestingly, standard Armenian  $a_{\varkappa o \varkappa}$ 'grape' becomes  $hav \ddot{o}_{\varkappa}$  in Aslanbeg. The dissimilation of to h before  $\varkappa$  is also found in the dialects of Alashkert, Agulis, Shamaxi, Sebastia, Erevan, Hamshen, etc., and the change of  $\varkappa$  to  $\nu$ is paralleled in Akn, Tigranakert, Erevan, and many other dialects (q.v. Ačařean 1973:322).

Another curious change found in Aslanbeg is that of the cluster hr to n in the name *Mihran*, which becomes *Minan*. I have no explanation for this development.

We will treat most other consonantal developments in the following section on syllable structure.

# **1.2.** Syllable structure

Aslanbeg has significantly simpler syllable structure than standard Armenian, which has led to a series of interesting phonological developments, primarily in syllable codas (Ačařean unfortunately follows standard Armenian orthography in not indicating epenthetic schwas, so except in a few cases we cannot determine the treatment of onset clusters, which are normally resolved by epenthesis in Armenian dialects). Aslanbeg codas cannot contain more than one element, and do not appear to allow distinctive place or voice features, much like Klingenheben's Law in Hausa.

Stops occurring as first members of consonant clusters (17a) or in final position before a pause  $(17b)^5$  or in word-final position before a consonant-initial word (17c), except before *h* (17d), are normally realized as a glottal stop []<sup>6</sup>:

(17)	Aslanbeg	Standard Armenian	gloss
a.	ye?k <sup>h</sup> ə	$e k^h \partial$	back
	ša?	ša	much
	mar?	mard	man
	ü?	$duk^h$	you pl.
	$p^ha^{\gamma}$	$p^hay$	wood
	da?rə	taygr-ə	brother-in-law-def.
	dʁa²närə	<i>sak<sup>h</sup>nerd</i>	your brothers
	ö?k <sup>ʰ</sup> -∂	$otk^{h}$ - $\partial$	foot-def.
	as²jü	astucoy	god-gen.

<sup>5</sup> Ačařean 1898:19 notes that the glottal stop is not pronounced when one "speaks softly".

<sup>6</sup> Ačařean states that its pronunciation resembles that of the Hindi *visarga*, which is normally a voiceless copy of the preceding vowel. There are many exceptions to Ačařean's phonological generalizations in the text he provides, but almost all of these are cases where the standard spelling is used, so we should not necessarily consider them to violate our rules.

	a ge	atke	jump.3sg.aor
b.	mar	mard	man
	aċ	??	??
c.	ša mar ga	šat mard kay	there are many men
	ü radi	duk <sup>h</sup> rati (?)	XX
	fös p $^h\!a$ g $\hat{a}$	hos p <sup>h</sup> ayt kây	is there wood here?
	mar č <sup>h</sup> ga	mard č <sup>*</sup> kay	there's no one
d.	ük <sup>ʰ</sup> havöʁ gerâkʰ	duk <sup>*</sup> a <i>sos kerâk</i> *	did you eat the grapes?

This development is reminiscent of Toba Batak, an Austronesian language of Sumatra (Hayes (1986:340-41)), where  $\{p \ t \ k\}$  become before consonants, the development of preaspirated consonants from coda-onset clusters in Algonquian languages, and *abhinidhāna* in Sanskrit, which changes oral stops into <sup>9</sup> in syllable codas (Vaux 1992).

Interestingly, however, g and k (but not  $k^{h}$ ) become y in codas:

(18)	Aslanbeg	Standard Armenian	gloss
	jermay	čermak	white
	gray	krak	fire
	Manüy	Manuk	(personal name)

According to Ačarean, this process does not apply in monosyllables, or before *h*:

(19a)	Aslanbeg	Standard Armenian	gloss
	müg	mukn	mouse
	jag	cak	gap
	üg	jukn	fish
(b)	grag hane	'he lit a fire' (*gray hane	)

The change of  $\{g \ k\}$  to y must have occurred after the simplification of original diphthongs (*kay* 'exists' > ga, etc.), or else forms such as *jermay* would have subsequently been simplified to *jerma*, etc. Note also that the behavior of monosyllables in (19a) indicates that gəray 'fire' is polysyllabic, or else we would expect \*grag. This state of affairs is paralleled in standard western Armenian, where onsets of the type in grag trigger epenthesis ([gərag]), which in turn selects the polysyllabic plural (gərag-ner 'fires', not \*gərag-er). The special behavior before h is related to the failure of stop debuccalization before h:  $ik^h havök gerak^h$  'did you eat grapes?', not \*  $ii^2 havök gerak^h$ . Ačařean states that h is "not feelable" in Aslanbeg, by which we must understand that it was not actually pronounced; he conveys this fact in words such as aba, from haba 'then'. Consequently, consonants preceding h+vowel sequences will be syllabified as onsets for those vowels, thereby avoiding the coda-based constraints.

The pronunciation of k and g as y is also found in several other Armenian dialects, as well as English (cf. day = German Tag), Japanese, and numerous other languages. It is interesting that  $k^h$  does not participate in this process, but at the moment I have no explanation for this fact. Ačařean also notes that original  $k^h$  optionally becomes ? rather than y before : krak  $\mu rk^h \bar{e} > gra$ ?  $rk\ddot{a}$  'he sends fire'.

As in other languages with similar coda constraints such as Hausa and Pali, r, l, and y are allowed to occur in syllable codas:

(20)	Aslanbeg	Standard Armenian	gloss
	p <sup>h</sup> arsə	barjr	high
	ha er	ha -er	bread-pl.
	jermay	čermak	white
	göšnal	čanč <sup>h</sup> nal	know
	etc.		

Given that *r* and *y* can also occur before other coda consonants (arš < arj 'bear',  $mar^{2} < mard$  'man', etc.)<sup>7</sup>, I assume that they are actually syllabified in the nucleus, as in Sanskrit and Lithuanian (q.v. Vaux 1992), so that we can maintain the generalization that Aslanbeg allows only non-branching codas.

As we have already seen, fricatives can also occur in syllable codas:

(21)	Aslanbeg	Standard Armenian	gloss
S	gasnim	k-an nim	I cross
Z.	mäz	mez	us-acc
š	göš a	čanč <sup>h</sup> ay	I knew
ž	gožgel	kočkel	button (v)
V	ha öv	ha -ov	bread-instr
	ö də	ew n	7

Original affricates, which are allowed in standard Armenian codas, lose their stop component in Aslanbeg, thereby becoming fricatives:

(22)	Aslanbeg	Standard Armenian	gloss
	arš	arj	bear
	gožgel	kočkel	button (v)
	jez	cec	beating
	väs	ve	6

This development results from the fact that affricates contain two consonantal components, a stop element and a fricative element, and the coda complexity constraint allows only one of these components to be syllabified. Since the stop element is also prevented from being syllabified by the coda constraint, only the fricative element surfaces. The simplification of affricates in consonant clusters is also of interest for theoretical reasons discussed in detail by Kenstowicz (1994); I will not deal with these issues here, as they are not directly relevant to Armenologists.

Both original fricatives and those derived from affricates assimilate in voicing to following onset consonants, e.g.  $kar\check{c} k^{h}i$  'short nose' >  $gar\check{j} k^{h}i \rightarrow [gar\check{s} k^{h}i]$ .

Unlike *r*, *y*, and *s*, nasals are deleted before coda consonants:

(23)	Aslanbeg	Standard Armenian	gloss
	jaž	čanč	fly
	hva?	hiwand	sick
	hiy dasə	hing tasn, tasnəhing	15

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> In other dialects such as Akn, *r* and *y* disappear in this environment. It is not possible to find cases of l + consonant codas, because these were never allowed in the history of Armenian.

Since *n* is an allowed coda (e.g. *fön* 'there',  $\partial \tilde{a}nji$  'me-gen/dat'), we must ask why the second member of these clusters is not deleted instead, parallel to the behavior of fricatives discussed above. I propose that nasals, like the other sonorants, were syllabified in the nucleus at some point in the history of the Aslanbeg dialect, and that at a later stage a constraint developed disallowing nasals in syllable nuclei, at which point all offending nasals were deleted.

To summarize the constraints on syllable structure discussed above, only the segments  $\{ ? yrlmnfvszšž \ B \}$  are allowed to occur in Aslanbeg codas; illicit segments are either changed into licensed segments (as with k, g, and fricatives) or deleted. I proposed at the beginning of this section that these facts result from a licensing restriction on place and voice features in codas, so that coda segments can only obtain place and voice specifications from following onset segments. The behavior of m, B, and presents problems for this analysis, however, because their place features are not predictable (all of the other licensed coda segments are coronal, which cross-linguistically tend to be immune to place restrictions). It appears that continuants in general are immune to the coda restrictions in Aslanbeg, which is also the case in Sanskrit. The reasons for this behavior are unclear to me.

Ačařean mentions that final *-anr* sequences become  $-\ddot{o}:ry\partial$ , *-o:yr* $\partial$ , or *-o:ry* $\partial$ , each with a stressed and lengthened  $o/\ddot{o}^8$ ; I can only assume that these are dialect variants within Aslanbeg. Though Ačařean makes no mention of subdialects, his frequent mention of alternate forms indicates their existence. It is not clear to me why the original *n* apparently becomes *y* in these situations. Ačařean explicitly states that the round vowel in these cases is long and stressed, which is strange since Aslanbeg does not otherwise have long vowels, and the stress here is predictable (see section 1.3). Apparent metathesis is also found in *vlan* 'they wash' < *lvan*, but metathesis in this verb is common in the modern dialects, and should not be considered a special property of Aslanbeg.

One final interesting aspect of Aslanbeg segmental phonology I would like to mention is the behavior of geminate ll, which according to Ačařean has special pronunciation, indicated by the spelling  $\langle l l \rangle$ , as in bl l el 'wrap', gl l el 'XX', gel l a 'he sets out',  $g \partial l l a$  'it is'. Unfortunately, Ačařean does not state what this notation represents in phonetic terms, and at the moment I am unable to provide any additional insight.

## **1.3. Stress and Intonation**

Stress normally falls on the last full (i.e. non-schwa) vowel in Aslanbeg, as in standard Armenian. The ordinal numbers for '5th', '6th', '7th', '8th', '9th', and '10th', which bear exceptional initial stress in standard Armenian, are (like all ordinals) replaced in Aslanbeg by Turkish forms (*bešinji* '5th', etc.). Other exceptional stress marks, which normally represent sentential or focus stress, have been indicated in the text below. Similarly, syllables bearing question intonation have been indicated.

## **1.4.** The secret language

Ačařean took a special interest in ciphers, codes, and secret languages based on Armenian early in his career (see Ačařean 1927), thanks to which we have four distinct forms of secret language preserved in the dialect text. Interestingly, the secret languages when decoded are much closer to standard Armenian than to the Aslanbeg dialect (e.g. *gebjer abjan* : standard Armenian *g-er an* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Similar developments are found in the Akn dialect.

'they go', not Aslanbeg form  $gian^9$ ), indicating perhaps that the phonological changes we have considered above are still active, and not relics of the history of the dialect. Each form of secret language found in our text employs fixed segmental material: type 1 (69-70) attaches *-ilə* to the end of each word; type 2 (146) inserts *- gV-* after each vowel; type 3 (147) inserts *-gjV-* or *-k<sup>h</sup>jV*after each vowel; finally, type 4 (148) inserts *-bjV-* after each vowel. Often such language games provide interesting insights into the phonology of the language, but the nature of these particular games unfortunately reveals few workings of Aslanbeg phonology. In light of Halle's (1995) theory of feature spreading and vowel copy phenomena, which states that consonants specified for a given feature block spreading of that feature from preceding to following vowels, it is interesting to note that the dummy syllables here freely copy the vowel of the syllable they follow, regardless of the intervening consonants. Furthermore, note the use of  $\vartheta$  in the following forms:

(24)	Aslanbeg	Standard Armenian	gloss
	mək <sup>h</sup> jenk <sup>h</sup>	$menk^h$	we (N.B. not * <i>mek<sup>h</sup>jenk<sup>h</sup></i> )
	dəgjür	dur	give-imv. (not * <i>dügjür</i> )

I have no explanation for the appearance of schwa in these cases.

### 2. Morphology

### 2.1. Nominal

The Aslanbeg dialect has eight declensions, which correspond directly to the declensions of the Istanbul [i.e. standard Western] dialect; unmarked forms are the same as those of the first declension.

1st decl. 'bread'	Abl	ha -i	ha ha	-er -är-ü -är-ä -är-öv
2d decl. <sup>10</sup> 'man'	N/A D/G Abl Instr	mar -ä		
3d decl. 'Armenianness'	N/A D/G Abl Instr	hayü ã hayü en-nä		
4th decl. 'father'	N/A D/G	har hör		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Though *g* ian is used in *igjiagjan* (147).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Ačařean 1898:23 notes that some words that did not originally belong to this declension now do, e.g. *dãnj* 'pear', G/D *dãnjü*; *jãnj* 'fly', G/D *jãnjü*.

		Abl Instr	hör-əmä hör-ə hed <sup>11</sup>	
5th de 'husb	ecl. band's siste	N/A r'D/G Abl Instr	dal dal-öč <sup>h</sup> dal-öč <sup>h</sup> -ə-m-e (dalö əsmen dal-öč <sup>h</sup> -əm-ö	n, dalö ə men 'from my, your husband's sister')
6th de 'day'		N/A D/G Abl	or or-vãn or-vən-ä	
7th de 'even		N/A D/G Abl Instr	eriy erg-ãn erg-ən-ä erg-ən-öv	ergəner ergənernüs
8th de 'god'		N/A D/G Abl Instr	as vaj asdəj-ü, as j asdüj-men asdüj-möv	[the plurals are generally the same as in the $1^{st}$ decl.] <i>ü</i>
The f N G/D Abl	following fo ergədik <sup>+</sup> ergədö	gn gə gə gə	exceptional: vədik <sup>h</sup> 'womer yvədö , vədö vədösmen, dösmen	ı'
Also:	k g d	u brdãnk "ərvədãn gə dak" lirvədik" Tənvədik"	<sup>h</sup> , a brdik <sup>h</sup> k <sup>h</sup>	brothers sisters women ?? lords dogs
2.1.2.	. Pronoun			
1	N à G ir D ə A ə Abl ə	ingular is m ãnji ãnjis ãnjimen ãnjimöv	m m m m m	lural pänk <sup>h</sup> päzi päzi päzimen, mermen päzimöv

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Note the analytic instrumental, formed from the D/G  $h\ddot{o}r$ - $\vartheta$  + the postposition *hed* 'with'. This type of instrumental formation is also found in the dialects of XX.

2	N G D A Abl	ün k <sup>h</sup> ü k <sup>h</sup> äzi k <sup>h</sup> äz k <sup>h</sup> ümen, k <sup>h</sup> äzimen k <sup>h</sup> äzimöv	ük <sup>*</sup> är äzi äz ermen, äzimen äzimöv
3	N/A G/D Abl I N/A G/D Abl I	ãn, ənig(a) ənör ənörmen, änəgüy ənörmöv as as	ənənk <sup>1</sup> anən anəsmen anəsmöv asənk <sup>1</sup> asən asəsmen asəsmöv
	N/A G/D Abl I N/A G/D Abl I	sa, səvi(ga(g)) səvör səvörmen səvörmöv əzink <sup>h</sup> 'anaphoric he' (əz)irän irenmen	səvənk <sup>h</sup> səvən səvəsmen səvəsmöv əzərənk <sup>h</sup> əzərən irəsmen, əzərəsmen irən öv, əzərəsmöv

The possessive pronouns are declined in the following manner:

1 'mine'	N/A G/D Abl I	ims imis, imüs imes imövs	imärs imäris, imärüs imäres imärövs
2 'yours	N/A 'G/D	U U	k¹ügär k¹ügäri
	Abl I	k¹üge k¹ügöv	k <sup>1</sup> ügäröv
3	N/A G/D Abl I	irə iränə, iränin iränen iränöv	irəsnärə irän (inün) irän (in)öv
4	N/A	märə	merärə

'ours'	G/D	märün	merärün
	Abl	mären	merären
	etc.		

And also in compound form: *merärünmen*, *merinnerünmen*, *meränk<sup>h</sup>*, *merəsmen*, *erenk<sup>h</sup>*, *erəsmen*, etc.

XX there are many pronominal forms missing; see Adjarian pp. 26-7

#### 2.2. Verbal morphology

Aslanbeg shares a distinctive progressive form employing the particle *haye* with several other Armenian dialects. The subjunctive marker  $n\partial \tilde{a}$  (< Turkish *ne*) appears to be unique among the Armenian dialects, though in the form [ne] it is quite common in spoken Armenian, and may also be related to the Dersim conditional marker *-one*. Otherwise, the system of verbal conjugation is the same as in the Istanbul dialect, except for the raising of *e* to *i* before nasals found in the first conjugation, as mentioned earlier, and the 1st plural imperfect and perfect ending *-ank<sup>h</sup>*. Ačařean lists the following forms of the verbs *sirel* 'love', *ösil* 'speak', *ial* 'go':

#### PRESENT

gə sirim, siräs, sirä, sirink<sup>h</sup>, siräk<sup>h</sup>, sirin gə ösim, ösis, ösi, ösink<sup>h</sup>, ösik<sup>h</sup>, ösin g-iãm, ias, ia, iãk<sup>h</sup>, iak<sup>h</sup>, iãn

PRESENT PROGRESSIVE gə sirím haye, gə sirés haye, etc. gə ösím haye, etc. g-iām haye, etc.

#### IMPERFECT

gə sirei, sireir, sirer, sireãnk<sup>h</sup>, sireik<sup>h</sup>, sirein gə ösei, öseir, öser, öseãnk<sup>h</sup>, öseik<sup>h</sup>, ösein g-iayi, iayir, ian, iayãnk<sup>h</sup>, iayik<sup>h</sup>, iayin

IMPERFECT PROGRESSIVE gə sireí haye, gə öseí haye, etc.

#### AORIST

sire i, sire ir, sirä , sire ãnk<sup>h</sup>, sire ik<sup>h</sup>, sire in öse a, öse ar, öse av, öse ãnk<sup>h</sup>, öse ak<sup>h</sup>, öse ãn haza i, haza ir, haza , haza ãnk<sup>h</sup>, haza ik<sup>h</sup>, haza in

FUTURE

bidi sirim bidi ösim bidi iãm, etc.

FUTURE PERFECT *bidi sirei* 

bidi ösei, etc.

#### IMPERATIVE

sirä	mí sirär	sire $\ddot{a}k^h$	mí siräk <sup>h</sup>	ös sirä	ös sirär
ösä	mí ösir	$\ddot{o}se \ddot{a}k^h$	mí ösik <sup>h</sup>	ök ösi	ös ösär
haza	mí hazar	haza $\ddot{a}k^h$	mí hazä $k^h$	ös ia	ös iar

#### PRESENT SUBJUNCTIVE

- sirim n>ã, sires n>ã, etc.
   osim n>ã
- 2) *ör sirim nəã*, etc. *ör ösim nəã*, etc.
- 3) *sirim*, etc. *ösim*, etc. *iãm*, etc.

## PAST SUBJUNCTIVE sirei nəã ör sirei nəã sirei etc.

PARTICIPLES sirel siraj sirär sirälü [odd forms ösin and ial also here]

Verbs in *-nel*, *-nil*, and *-nal* are also conjugated in this fashion. *dari*,  $bak^hi$ , ari, asi, etc. can also have the forms *dare*,  $bak^he$ , are, ase.

#### PRESENT NEGATIVE

 $\check{c}^{h}im, \check{c}^{h}es, \check{c}^{h}i, \check{c}^{h}i^{2}, \check{c}^{h}e^{2}, \check{c}^{h}in + sir\ddot{a}r, \ddot{o}sir, iar$ 

IMPERFECT NEGATIVE  $\check{c}^{h}eir, \check{c}^{h}er, \check{c}^{h}\ddot{a}^{2}, \check{c}^{h}ei^{2}, \check{c}^{h}ein + sir\ddot{a}r, \ddot{o}sir, iar$ 

AORIST NEGATIVE  $\check{c}^h i + sire \ i, sire \ ir,$  etc.

FUTURE NEGATIVE  $\check{c}^{h}i$  sirim bidi,  $\check{c}^{h}i$  sires bidi,  $\check{c}^{h}i$  sire bidi,  $\check{c}^{h}i$  siri? bidi,  $\check{c}^{h}i$  sire? bidi,  $\check{c}^{h}i$  sirin bidi  $\check{c}^{h}i$  ösim bidi,  $\check{c}^{h}i$  ösis bidi, etc.

FUTURE PERFECT NEGATIVE  $\check{c}^{\dagger}i$  sirei bidi,  $\check{c}^{\dagger}i$  sireir bidi,  $\check{c}^{\dagger}i$  sirer bidi,  $\check{c}^{\dagger}i$  sirei? bidi,  $\check{c}^{\dagger}i$  sirein bidi  $\check{c}^{\dagger}i$  ösei bidi, etc.

#### IMPERATIVE NEGATIVE

č<sup>h</sup>i sires nəã, č<sup>h</sup>i ösin nəã, č<sup>h</sup>-ias nəã öʁ č<sup>h</sup>i sirä, öʁ č<sup>h</sup>i sirär, etc.

PRESENT SUBJUNCTIVE NEGATIVE  $\check{c}^h i \ sirim \ n \partial \tilde{a}, \ \check{c}^h i \ sires \ n \partial \tilde{a}, \ \check{c}^h i \ siri'^2 \ n \partial \tilde{a} \ \check{c}^h i \ sirin \ n \partial \tilde{a}, \ etc.$ 

PAST SUBJUNCTIVE NEGATIVE  $\check{c}^{h}i \ sirei \ n \partial \tilde{a}, \ \check{c}^{h}i \ sir\ddot{a}^{\, 2} \ n \partial \tilde{a},$  etc.  $\ddot{o}r \ \check{c}^{h}i \ sirei \ n \partial \tilde{a}, \ \ddot{o}r \ \check{c}^{h}i \ sir\ddot{a}^{\, 2} \ n \partial \tilde{a},$  etc.  $\check{c}^{h}i \ sirei,$  etc.

NEGATIVE PARTICIPLES  $\check{c}^{h}i \; sirel, \; \check{c}^{h}i \; siraj, \; \text{etc.}$  $\check{c}^{h}i \; \; \ddot{o}sil, \; \check{c}^{h}i \; sir\ddot{a}l\ddot{u}, \; \text{etc.}$  $\check{c}^{h}-ial, \; \text{etc.}$ 

Note that in the future negative, the particle *bidi* can only occur immediately after the verb, whereas in other dialects it may appear immediately before or after the negative marker.

Transitive and irregular verbs are the same as in the Istanbul dialect, except that they undergo the required sound changes:

(25)	<b>Aslanbeg</b> göš a egö gasnim sir əneal	<b>Standard Armenian</b> čanč <sup>h</sup> ay ekur k-an nim XX	gloss I knew come! I cross XX	
(26)	k <sup>h</sup> a i, k <sup>h</sup> a ir, k <sup>h</sup> nəã iãnk <sup>h</sup> m iar k <sup>h</sup> a ek <sup>h</sup>	<pre>k<sup>h</sup>a av, k<sup>h</sup>a ãnk<sup>h</sup>, k<sup>h</sup>a ik<sup>h</sup> go! let's go don't go! go (pl)!</pre>	', kʰa in	'I went', 'you went', etc.

# 2.3. Numerals

The cardinal numerals 1-1000 are as follows:

1	meg, mey	20	$k^h s  ilde a$
2	ergü(k <sup>h</sup> ), ergü	30	ärsün
3	iräk <sup>ʰ</sup> , irä	40	k <sup>h</sup> arˈsün
4	č <sup>h</sup> örs	50	isün
5	hink <sup>ʰ</sup> , hiy, hi	60	va jün
6	vä , väs	70	ye miš (Turkish yetmiš)
7	ö də	80	se sän (Turkish seksen)
8	й ә	90	do sã (Turkish doksan)
9	(v)inəã	100	härür
10	dasə	1000	häzär

11 dasnəmey

12 *dasvergük<sup>h</sup>*, *dasvergü* 

Note that the decads 70, 80, 90 are borrowed from Turkish, as in Van and many other Armenian dialects. The ordinals are also borrowed from Turkish, e.g. 43 *bešínži* '[wife of the] fifth [brother]'. The distributives are formed normally, e.g.  $\check{c}^h \ddot{o} rsag\tilde{a}$  'fourth' ( $\langle \check{c}^h ors - ak^h an \rangle$ ), *ergergü* 'two by two' ( $\langle erku - erku$ ), etc.

Among the set of indeclinable words, the following are noteworthy:

 $\partial n \check{c}^{h} \ddot{o} k^{h}$  'until' fes, fös, fesen, fösen, füsa 'here (by me)' < hos fe, fö, fe en, fö en, füda 'here (by you)' < hod fen, fön, fenen, fönen, füna 'there' < hon i  $\tilde{a}$  'toward(s)', e.g. dünn i  $\tilde{a}$  'towards the house'  $k^{h} \partial ni$  'how many' (<  $k^{h} ani$ )  $k^{h} \ddot{u}$  hed 'with you', im hed 'with me', etc. mari 'look!' meydeʁ 'in' nerk^{h} in 'under', e.g. jarin nerk^{h} in nsde a 'I sat under the tree' (cf. Standard Armenian nerk^{h} in 'interior') ör 'if' as 'here', e.g. as egö 'come here!'

# 3. Syntax

Neither Ačarean nor I being syntacticians, this section will unfortunately be quite short, and interested readers will have to look to the text for further syntactic information. Here I make only a few brief comments on basic properties of Aslanbeg syntax.

# 3.1. Conjunction

Aslanbeg employs its cognate of the standard Armenian conjunction u 'and', as well as two additional forms borrowed from Turkish, de and *ilen*. The normal standard Armenian sentence connective, ew, is not used in the text provided by Ačařean, being replaced by de or its reduced form  $d\partial$ . Some examples:

(27)

5	kʰin kʰöʁ egär ä də jüʁärə änəgüy gödrdär in
	'a thief came to the vineyard and that's why the branches are broken'
7	iärə kʰaše ekʰ də izə iãnkʰ
	'get the horses and we'll go after [them]'
16	öь čʰüfdän ar̀nä də kʰa
	'have him get his rifle and come'
18	eli čʰüfdän ar də var kʰnəã
	'up and get [your] rifle and go downstairs'
38	hazərvörä de egö
	'get ready and come'
54	jarärə p <sup>h</sup> isgülin gü k <sup>h</sup> əa də ämüzə gə jejä
	7 16 18 38

		'the ends of the tassels come down and touch the shoulder'
(g)	138	G rəbed, ün a iün megin vra heji də manč <sup>h</sup> ö ə edəven hasi
		'Garabed, you also mount one of the horses and follow the boys'
(h)	151	Mey mə zargik <sup>+</sup> , ergü zargã de
		'you strike once, and we strike twice'

Certainly  $d\partial$  is more common than de, but I am unable to explain their distribution. Note that de occurs at the end of the clauses it conjoins in (h).

The reduced form of standard ew,  $\ddot{u}$ , is used to conjoin heads, normally nouns. Examples occuring in the text are:

(28)

(a)	29	Garəbe n ü Manügə giãn ʁayfän
		'Garabed and Manug go to the cafe'
(b)	41	Garəbe n ü Manügə <i>wayfen gi</i> ãn
(c)	48	Bay mə ye gə Garəbe n ü Manügə gük <sup>ı</sup> ã
		'a while later Garabed and Manug come'
(d)	52	ʁambürnärn ü kʰöröʁlünärə mešgernin ga_aj
		'scimitars and clubs hanging from [their] waists'
(e)	90	dön ü enek <sup>h</sup> erimə iärə garnin <i>varavažnärün dagə gi</i> ãn
		'Bedros and Senek <sup>h</sup> erim take the horses and go under the charcoal trees'
(f)	98	Manüyn ü Garəbedə hiy-dasə adüm ialen ye gə izə ʁayi gəl l a
		'After Manug and Garabed have gone five or ten steps, they lose the trail'

The conjunction *ilen* (< Turkic *ilän* 'with') is used in basically the same way as in Turkish. Only one example occurs in the text:

(29)	9	ün kʰnəã Garəbedin ilen Minãnin gančʰä
		'you go call Garabed and Mihran'

It is not clear to me why  $\ddot{u}$  is not used in this case.

## **3.2.** Subordination

Aslanbeg departs significantly from standard Armenian in its means of subordination. Relative clauses are normally formed as in standard Armenian (e.g. 'the book I read'  $\rightarrow$  'my read book'). When the relative pronoun  $\ddot{o}r$  is employed, however, it is not declined, unlike in standard Armenian; oblique arguments are represented by resumptive pronouns, for example 'from whom' is expressed by  $\ddot{o}r$   $\tilde{a}nge$ , literally 'who from him'. This state of affairs is also found in Middle Armenian, the ancestor of many of the modern western dialects.

Aslanbeg also employs an interesting construction to express temporal and conditional clauses, in addition to cases with  $y\ddot{a}p^{h}$ , the cognate of Standard *erb* 'when'. These special constructions are introduced by  $\ddot{o}r$  or  $\ddot{u}r$ , and take a subjunctive verb:

(30) *ör nəã* 

(a)	63	ör gidör məã aʁdöd əl l ã nəã, ãn mar ə ačʰa lamiš gənin
		'if part of it gets dirty, they punish the man [who is responsible]'
(b)	69	ör gü mödenãn nəã, Minãnə Manüg a p <sup>h</sup> örə gəsä

		'when they draw near, Mihran says to brother Manug,'
(c)	80	As giragi ör üjarnärə kʰãn nəã, ergü kʰila oza bidi ja im
		'this Sunday, when the merchants come, I will sell them two kilos of beehives'
(d)	88	ör üfägə ergü häʁ pʰa ä nəã, iärə gaɨne gükʰakʰ
		'if you hear two rifle shots, take the horses and come'
(e)	89	ör mey mə pʰa ä nəã, iärə gə hezna giakʰ
		'if you hear one shot, mount the horses and go'
(f)	125	ör ãn a č <sup>h</sup> ünim gəsä nəã, k <sup>h</sup> üfeyin ap <sup>h</sup> a ə gə p <sup>h</sup> ãnã
		'when he says 'I don't have any', he opens the lid of the basket'
(31)	ür nəã	
(a)	73	ür adəs kʰalə gə desnãn nəã mečʰernün mägə gəsä
		'when they see them going by, one of them says'
(b)	116	'when they see them going by, one of them says' azern ür gə desnān nəā, Garəbedə e igə gə k <sup>h</sup> ašä
(b)	116	
(b) (c)	116 141	azern ür gə desnān nəā, Garəbedə e igə gə k'ašä
		azern ür gə desnãn nəã, Garəbedə e igə gə $k^h$ ašä 'when they see the Laz, Garabed cocks the trigger'

As far as I can tell,  $\ddot{u}r$  may simply be a typo for  $\ddot{o}r$  in (31a-b); I do not see any difference in function between the sentences in (30) and (31). Alternatively,  $\ddot{u}r$  could be the equivalent of standard Armenian ur 'where', in which case we would have to account for the existence of  $v\ddot{u}r$ , which also seems to derive from ur, in (31c), and explain why 'where' would be used in subordinate clauses. Interestingly, modern Greek also uses *pou* 'where' as a complementizer in subordinate clauses, as in (32):

(32)	to	biblio	рои	diabasa	sēmera
	the	book	where	read-1sg	today
	'the book that I read today'				-

In addition, Ben Fortson (personal communication) informs me that some German dialects use wo 'where' as a general complementizer. I am not sure how such a construction would come into being, but the correlation may be significant. The status of *vür* remains unclear to me.

Note that the verbs in (30b, f) and (31) also employ the non-past indicative marker  $g\ddot{u}$ ; since the combination  $g\ddot{u} + \text{verb} + n\partial\tilde{a}$  is not listed in Ačařean's verb morphology, we must assume either that Ačařean inadvertently omitted a morphological tense, or that these cases are actually presents coupled with an independent aspectual marker  $n\partial\tilde{a}$ .

The descendant of Standard *erb* 'when', namely  $y\ddot{a}p^h$ , appears to be used in interrogative situations. Only one example occurs in the text:

(32) 49  $\ddot{u} y p^h egar$ 'when did you come?'

The use of distinct temporal expressions in interrogative situations versus subordinate clauses is also found in Turkish, which employs *ne zaman* to mean 'when?' and a form of relative clause with *zaman* 'time' for subordinate temporal clauses, as in (33):

(33a) Ahmet ne zaman geldi?

Ahmed what time came 'When did Ahmed come?'

(b) *Ahmed-in gel-diğ-i zaman* Ahmed-gen come-ppl-poss time 'when Ahmet came'

Standard Armenian employs a construction similar to that in (33b), using *aten* 'time', e.g. *im* ga ac atens 'when I came' (literally 'my come time'), but I do not know whether this is the standard method of expressing temporal subordination or not.

Some final syntactic and lexical notes: as in standard Armenian, the Aslanbeg dialect normally adds the definite article to personal names: *Garəbed-ə*, *Manüy-n*, etc. The use of *had* 'piece, unit' in *ergü ha begirji* 'two stableboys' (10) is interesting, as *had* is normally not used to count animate objects in standard Armenian (compare the use of *tane* in Turkish). Finally, it is noteworthy that Aslanbeg generally employs *mial* rather than the standard form *miws* in the sense of 'other (of a given set)', as in the following examples:

(34)

(a)	83	Manügə a barə mialnärün gəsä
		'Brother Manug says to the others'
(b)	92	Mialnärə jãmp <sup>h</sup> a gel 1 ãn
		'The others set out'
(c)	95	ük <sup>h</sup> ergük <sup>h</sup> ə mial jãmp <sup>h</sup> ãn p <sup>h</sup> rne äk <sup>h</sup>
		'you 2 take the other path'

Ačarean's text does not include any instances of *miws*, so I cannot tell if it is ever employed in this dialect.

# 4. Turkish influence

Like most Armenian dialects, Aslanbeg borrows extensively from Turkish, as is amply displayed in the following text. Borrowing is mainly confined to lexical elements; grammatical morphemes for the most part remain stable. In this section I will only consider a few particularly interesting forms; further information on Turkish and other borrowings will be found in the notes and glossary at the end of the text.

- bašlal < Turkish bašla-mak 'begin'. It is relatively rare for Armenian to employ Turkish verb roots with Armenian endings; the normal pattern is to employ a Turkish participle in -miš together with an Armenian light verb: 'be' with unaccusative verbs, and 'do' with all other verbs (cf. 63 ač<sup>h</sup>a lamiš gənin 'they punish', literally 'they do punishment'; 46 Minanə *vərslanmiš gəl l a* 'Mihran gets angry', literally 'Mihran is angered is'). Note also in this regard *irarü gə be leyink<sup>h</sup>* 'we (will) wait for each other' from Turkish *beklemek* 'wait for, expect' in line 97.
- 2. *həmmã* < Ottoman Turkish *amma* (modern Turkish *ama*). Redhouse cites *amma* as a native Turkish word, but it may be Arabic. Note the peculiar geminate simplification in the modern Turkish form.

3. Note that the borrowings from Turkish generally reflect the pronunciation of Anatolian dialects, particularly in consonant clusters: *va t* 'time' (standard Turkish *vakit*), *do san* '90' (standard Turkish *doksan*), *ar adaš* 'friend' (standard Turkish *arkadaš*). Note also that the form *ye miš* (standard Turkish *yetmiš*) indicates that the coda constraint discussed earlier remained active in Aslanbeg after the time of borrowing from Turkish (in fact, it appears to be a part of the synchronic grammar of Aslanbeg).

## 5. A Sample Text

## 5.1. Text

The following is taken from Ačařean 1898:35-46.

azärün öвü inəã (әпöва k<sup>h</sup>ə nöва)

1 Manüy: Par irgün Xašdür a bar: 2 Xašdür: Xer əl l a: 3 M: Ovagem babayin k<sup>h</sup>l ün egajə ima âr, ek<sup>h</sup>iin maslaha ə? 4 X: rav ör ereg irgün aday laf mə gar həməã, əri mə č<sup>h</sup>iydim 5 M: k<sup>h</sup>in k<sup>h</sup>öł egär ä də jüsärə änəgüy gödrdär in 6 X: ü əbə š gaynär äk<sup>h</sup> 7 iärə k<sup>h</sup>aše ek<sup>h</sup> də izə iãnk<sup>h</sup> 8 As p<sup>h</sup>ãnə ənösə azärə əllalü in, arč<sup>h</sup>i örn ã Var ã ämjün ek<sup>h</sup>in ərär in: 9 ün k<sup>h</sup>nəã Garəbedin ilen Minãnin ganč<sup>h</sup>ä 10 s a ergü ha begirji jarim:

11 Manügə g ia Garəbedin dünəã
12 M: Pari lüys, Báyjař
13 Garəbedə v rn ä:
14 B: Xer əl l a, Xašdür a bar
15 Vern ä:
16 M: öκ č<sup>h</sup>üfdän ainä də k<sup>h</sup>a:
17 Bayjařə ver g ia
18 Mar, g əsä, eli č<sup>h</sup>üfdän air də var k<sup>h</sup>nəã:
19 Garəbedə gə a gəä, č<sup>h</sup>üfdän ämüzə gə zarnä, gene š ga əjəb, g əsä, var g išna:
20 Garəbed Pari lüys Manüg a bar:
21 M: As jü p<sup>h</sup>arin, k<sup>h</sup>əni? saha en var g išnas
22 mnč<sup>h</sup>igə sayfän in:
23 azärün bidi iãnk<sup>h</sup>:
24 G: a ziyanü in ər r in:
25 M: A bar, havösnärə üdelnin, hade zarar č<sup>h</sup>üni əsink<sup>h</sup>
26 göjern a änəgü gödrdär in:
27 G: Anang ä nəã k<sup>h</sup>əni mə had a i airnelü ä:
28 M: iärə hazər ä, á bar, k<sup>h</sup>alä:

**29** Garəbe n ü Manügə g iãn sayfän **30** ãmp<sup>h</sup>ã Minãnə əmernin g el l a **31** gə neyin ör jrar mə ařk<sup>h</sup>ə g ia **32** Garəbedə gə har ənä: Hazə?r es **33** fiš g e ařk<sup>h</sup>innärə : **34** Mi: išegi k<sup>h</sup>örz gá ya: **35** G: aha abar č<sup>h</sup>ünis **36** azärün bidi iãnk<sup>h</sup> **37** mnč<sup>h</sup>igə sayfän in **38** hazərvörä de egö: **39** Mi: Hima gü k<sup>h</sup>ãm: **40** Minãnə dün g ia **41** Garəbe n ü Manügə sayfen g iãn:

**42** Minãnə dün gü k<sup>h</sup>əa **43** Mi: Bešínji, da ümə var p<sup>h</sup>er: **44** wife: Mar gene v r bidi ias: **45** Minãnin marə da ümnärə p<sup>h</sup>er əsälə g imanã: mo her: anəm ösül č<sup>h</sup>üšanas, g əsä: **46** Minanə sərslanmiš g əl l a: **47** Mi: a mi drdra, g əsä, ürs g el l a, sayfän g ia: **48** Bay mə ye gə Garəbe n ü Manügə gük<sup>h</sup>ã, gə neyin ör Minãnə fön ä **49** G and M: ü y p<sup>h</sup> egar: **50** Minãnə jüsä č<sup>h</sup>idar k<sup>h</sup>lö gə nedä:

**51** Vä ə megas jãmp<sup>h</sup>a g ellã **52** efianärə k<sup>h</sup>əl ənin bl l aj, sərmalə jamadãnnärə hak<sup>h</sup>aj, jermay zp<sup>h</sup>sanärə k<sup>h</sup>ašaj, č<sup>h</sup>amərlü närə jivernin, č<sup>h</sup>erk<sup>h</sup>eši yemeninärə hak<sup>h</sup>aj, č<sup>h</sup>üfdänärə ämüznin zargaj, sambürnärn ü k<sup>h</sup>öröslünärə mešgernin ga aj: **53** efia əsajəs ip<sup>h</sup>e lü des əmäl mən ä, č<sup>h</sup>ürk<sup>h</sup>ə öyalə, gãnãš, garmir, mör, äsin əräynäröv **54** äsin p<sup>h</sup>isgülə k<sup>h</sup>övernin ga vaj` k<sup>h</sup>efiãn ãnãy mə gə gabin ör, jarärə p<sup>h</sup>isgülin gü k<sup>h</sup>əa də ämüzə gə jejä: **55** amadãnə mör č<sup>h</sup>ü ayöv ä` ənč<sup>h</sup>ök<sup>h</sup> ör ges mäšgə sə ü göžgaj, evern a sə ü, sölärə ergü ihän ga vaj, gö särə sərma p<sup>h</sup>ãnvaj, ãnãng a armüynärnin **56** ämüzen mäšgə fišeyli närə gə gabin **57** Mešgernin a rabölüs gödi: **58** p<sup>h</sup>sa əsajs düne k<sup>h</sup>örjaz jermay gdav mən ä **59** ənč<sup>h</sup>ök<sup>h</sup> ör jəyvənin, azärün hak<sup>h</sup>ajin bes həməã edevnin gdör məã böl ä **60** azärünə havü ak<sup>h</sup>ii bes ä, həməã märə örözi bes dəngəvaj ä: **61** As  $zp^h$  anärə kəye jermay bidi əl l  $\tilde{a}$  **62** əmmen sap<sup>h</sup> ü gə vlān **63** ör gidör məā akdöd əl l  $\tilde{a}$  nə $\tilde{a}$ ,  $\tilde{a}$ n mar ə acha lamis g ənin:

64 amərlü əsass a harsnən ü a č<sup>+</sup>ənärə gə šinin: 65 erk<sup>+</sup>eši yemenin ajü gašiöv šinvaj ä, dagə heš gar č<sup>+</sup>üni 66 rgü iãn ö g enin ö gin eräsə gə garin ergü jarern a k<sup>+</sup>i öv 67 rgü had a sərəm ga, jivernin gə bl l in:

68 amp<sup>h</sup>ãn öra älän ialn ik<sup>h</sup>än, Müs afa aʁan gə desnān 69 ör gü mödenān nəã, Minānə Manüg a p<sup>h</sup>örə g əsä joílə Manügílə a b rilə 70 a dílə mar ílə maʁílə jagílə eílə, osk<sup>h</sup>ílə p<sup>h</sup>o írilə: 71 Müs afa aʁayin p<sup>h</sup>arevə güdãn g asnin: 72 Veri-galärän an az va də bzdiy mãnč<sup>h</sup>igə fön öyin gə aʁayin 73 ür adəs k<sup>h</sup>alə gə desnān nəã meč<sup>h</sup>ernün mägə g əsä -- r äs meznām nəã Xašdür a börə bes bidi əl l am: 74 — s a Garəbedin: 75 —əbə Minānə, č<sup>h</sup> s əsär 76 -- š Minān, 77 Minānə k<sup>h</sup>ən yara üni: 78 — də: 79 —Xašdürinəã dasvirek<sup>h</sup> had ä: 80 —As giragi ör üjarnärə k<sup>h</sup>ān nəã, ergü k<sup>h</sup>ila oza bidi ja im 81 k<sup>h</sup>ör Ammedin k<sup>h</sup>öv ves nedöʁ mə ga, ö də jermagi güda äʁär 82 fišeyli n a he n ä:

**83** Veri-galärän asnelen ye k<sup>h</sup>ə Manügə a barə mialnärün g əsä —jü a bar aʁ mə č<sup>h</sup>gãnč<sup>h</sup>äk<sup>h</sup>: **84** Asör vra əmmenk<sup>h</sup>ə meydeʁ gə bašlayin

Aslanbeg üšak<sup>h</sup>larə ək<sup>h</sup>ə baxlar k<sup>h</sup>üšalə ak<sup>h</sup>a mak<sup>h</sup>a diynamaz, ek<sup>h</sup>er vürür büč<sup>h</sup>asə, (etc.)

**85** Asangəf κüšlə əs örə gük<sup>h</sup>ãn, ardagin k<sup>h</sup>öv ö g əl l ãn **86** Xašdür a barə g əsä — ü radi be gə č<sup>h</sup>ünük<sup>h</sup> həməã, dö a börn ilän enek<sup>h</sup>erimin ergü laf ənim: **87** ü κατακαč<sup>h</sup>in jarärün dagə bidi gaynik<sup>h</sup> **88** ör üfägə ergü häκ p<sup>h</sup>a ä nəã, iärə g arne gük<sup>h</sup>ak<sup>h</sup> **89** ör mey mə p<sup>h</sup>a ä nəã, iärə gə hezna g iak<sup>h</sup>:

**90** dön ü enek<sup>h</sup>erimə iärə g arnin sarasažnärün dagə g iãn **91** iärə č<sup>h</sup>ayirə ös güdãn, iränk<sup>h</sup> a yãn gük<sup>h</sup>ã, g ergnnãn: **92** Mialnärə jãmp<sup>h</sup>a g el l ãn **93** p<sup>h</sup>eyi des ialen ye gə, Xašdürə g əsä —gə k<sup>h</sup>nãnãk<sup>h</sup>, š g ənäk<sup>h</sup> **94** zə ergük<sup>h</sup>i p<sup>h</sup>ö ve av **95** äs Minãnə arnim asge iãm də` ük<sup>h</sup> ergük<sup>h</sup>ə mial jãmp<sup>h</sup>ãn p<sup>h</sup>rne äk<sup>h</sup>: **96** Manüy — rgünə irar ü?r bidi k<sup>h</sup>ə nãnk<sup>h</sup>: **97** Xašdür — esdəneyin a p<sup>h</sup>ürə irarü gə be leyink<sup>h</sup>:

98 Manüyn ü Garəbedə hiy-dasə adüm ialen ye gə izə sayi g əl l a: 99 Manüy —Mar ö ə ašgə p<sup>h</sup>asvär ä, r adaš varbedü in ərär in: 100 Garəbed — š g əsäs 101 ye ernänk<sup>h</sup>:
102 Manüy — ä egö füsä nsdink<sup>h</sup>: 103 üfdenärə ö gernün meš ö e in, iränk<sup>h</sup> a ändes yãn egãn 104 Manügə Garəbedin əsav —Meymə angaj hösin ir 105 sörəin meč<sup>h</sup>en jivü än mə gük<sup>h</sup>əa: 106 Garəbedə mdiy g ənä 107 —Ha, än mə gük<sup>h</sup>əa ängəjis 108 vér iän əl l alə beylü č<sup>h</sup>ä 109 änärə gü öšərnãn azin dsa n in 110 örin iän ä: 111 —Ge i neyim bar: 112 ãngajə hösin gə nä 113 p<sup>h</sup>ar k<sup>h</sup>övernis egär in, daha š gaynär ink<sup>h</sup> 114 č<sup>h</sup>üfdä ärk<sup>h</sup> ar: 115 üfdänärə g arnin, örn i var g išnãn 116 azern ür gə desnãn nəã, Garəbedə e igə gə k<sup>h</sup>ašä: 117 — eslimin olã: 118 — ímö k<sup>h</sup>imö: 119 — slenbegli dir: 120 — esana dös sünüz: 121 — ši bilir:

**122** As lafärän ye gə, azärə akey mə ör ãn g el l ãn, k<sup>h</sup>üfenärə šalgənin: **123** Manügə mödə g ia, **124** —Vay in-olãn, s n sin, g əsä: **125** iš mə ja g üzä, ör ãn a č<sup>h</sup>ünim g əsä nəã, k<sup>h</sup>üfeyin ap<sup>h</sup>a ə gə p<sup>h</sup>ãnã, gə neyi ör havök ä: **126** — ü Garəbed **127** s k<sup>h</sup>ezi č<sup>h</sup>əs **128** ərind ör č<sup>h</sup>i k<sup>h</sup>a ãnk<sup>h</sup> **129** mar igə ašgernin p<sup>h</sup>a är in əsi **130** k<sup>h</sup>id s **131** asənk<sup>h</sup> üvagem ämjün havöknärn ä, güzeren gə göšnam **132** k<sup>h</sup>idin ür izə g iãnk<sup>h</sup>, örmãnin meš bahär in

**133** Himay a eger gə dãnin: **134** Garəbedə  $\mathcal{E}^h$ üfdän irarü edəve ergü n a gə nedä **135** azärə ökal gə bašlayin **136** gədör mə ye gə iärə gük<sup>h</sup>ãn: **137** Manügə g əsä — a havöknärə iün vra p<sup>h</sup>är ek<sup>h</sup> **138** G rəbed, ün a iün megin vra heji də man $\mathcal{E}^h$ ö ə edəven hasi: **139** A p<sup>h</sup>ar, *ín iš bidi ənim* **140** *as leinärün meš*  $g \neq k^h al$ *ä* **141** *s hima vür in n* $\neq \tilde{a}$   $g \neq p^h erim$ : **142** — *s in heznas diyi č<sup>h</sup>im*  $\neq$ *sär* **143** *g*  $\neq$ *l l a ör be g* $\neq$ *g* $\neq$ *l l a:* 

144 — Be gə əl l a nä, fön iün və lə ə č<sup>h</sup>ik<sup>h</sup>a: 145 Garəbedə g ia, mnč<sup>h</sup>igə gə k<sup>h</sup>ə na gə neyi ör ergü äzi i misir p<sup>h</sup>är az gük<sup>h</sup>ãn, p<sup>h</sup>ü ünəã megen Manügin k<sup>h</sup>öv gük<sup>h</sup>ãn: 146 Manügə g əsä — o go ma ganč<sup>h</sup>i gig, č<sup>h</sup>o gor je gej mə gə k<sup>h</sup>a k<sup>h</sup>aši g nk<sup>h</sup>: 147 — Mək<sup>h</sup>jenk<sup>h</sup> megjer p<sup>h</sup>ak<sup>h</sup>jayək<sup>h</sup>jə agjaragjank<sup>h</sup> ögjöv dəgjür ögjöv igjiagjan: 148 — Abjas mabjar ibjigəbjə gebjer abjan mabjar ubju gəbjəsibjin: 149 azärə gə k<sup>h</sup>in, iränk<sup>h</sup> a k<sup>h</sup>ävə gə ärnãn 150 Xašdür a barə g əsä — Xavə bašlaye äk<sup>h</sup>: 151 Mnč<sup>h</sup>igə gə bašlayin:

Mey mə zargik<sup>h</sup>, ergü zargã de ardön, p<sup>h</sup>ardön, ardön, p<sup>h</sup>ardön

### 5.2. Translation

### The Laz' Theft (The Perpetrator and the Discoverer??)

1 Manug: Good evening, brother Xachatur. 2 X: Greetings. 3 M: Do you know what happened to John's father, [regarding] the vineyard business? 4 X: It's true that last night there was such talk, but I don't know the reason. 5 M: It seems a thief came to the vineyard, and that's why the branches are broken. 6 X: Then what are you waiting for? 7 Get the horses, and we will go after them. 8 The perpetrators of this must have been Laz; they must have done it the other day in uncle Vartan's vineyard. 9 You go call Garabed and Mihran, 10 [and] I will find two stableboys. 11 Manug goes to Garabed's house. 12 M: Good morning, Bayjar. 13 Is Garabed upstairs? 14 B: Greetings, brother Xachatur. 15 He's upstairs. 16 M: Tell him to get his rifle and come. 17 Bayjar goes upstairs. 18 "Man," she says, "get up, get [your] rifle, and go downstairs." 19 Garabed jumps up, throws the rifle over his shoulder, says 'what could be the matter now?', and goes downstairs. 20 G: Good morning, brother Manug. 21 M: Good God, how many hours does it take you to climb down the stairs? 22 The guys are at the cafe. 23 We're going to the Laz' [place]. 24 G: Did they do much damage? 25 M: Brother, [when] they eat [our] grapes, we say no problem. 26 But they also broke the jugs in the corner. 27 G: If that's the case, we should also take a few horses. 28 M: The horses are ready, brother, come on.

29 Garabed and Manug go to the cafe; 30 on the way they run into Mihran. 31 They see that he has a package in his hand. 32 Garabed asks: Are you ready? 33 Are those bullets in your hands? 34 Mi: [You mean] we might need bullets? 35 G: You still haven't heard? 36 We're going to the Laz' place. 37 The guys are at the cafe; 38 get ready and come on. 39 Mi: I'll come soon. 40 Mihran goes home; 41 Garabed and Manug go to the cafe.

42 Mihran arrives at home. 43 "Fifth wife, bring down the stuff." 44 F: "Man, where are you going this time?" 45 Mihran's mother understands his order to bring the stuff; 'Don't be late, dear,' she says. 46 Mihran gets angry. 47 Don't complain so much, he says, goes outside, and proceeds to the cafe. 48 A moment later Garabed and Manug come, and see that Mihran is there. 49 G and M: When did you arrive? 50 Mihran doesn't answer; he shakes his head.

51 The six set out together, 52 wearing turbans wrapped around their heads, gold-trimmed jackets, white knee bands, mud boots on their feet, and Circassian peasant shoes, with rifles slung over their shoulders and scimitars and clubs hanging from their waists. 53 When I say turban, [I mean] a handkerchief of silk with lacy trim, colored green, red, dark blue, and yellow. 54 The tassels on the hat hang down the side, tied to the turban so that the ends of the tassels come down and touch the shoulder. 55 The jacket is of dark blue felt, buttoned tightly halfway at the waist; the sleeves are also tight, and the arms hang from both sides. The breast is specially embroidered, as are the elbows. 56 The bullets are tied to the back of the shoulder. 57 They also have woven belts about their waists. 58 When I say knee band, I mean a piece of homespun white cloth **59** up to the knees, like the Laz wear, but the rear piece is broader. **60** It is like the tail of the Lazes' chickens, but ours sticks out like a rooster. 61 These knee bands have to be very white. 62 Every week they wash it, 63 and if part of it gets dirty, they punish the man [who is responsible]. 64 The mud boots I mentioned are also made by the young women. 65 The sole of the Circassian shoe is made of goat leather, and has no seam underneath. 66 They bring together the two sides, cut the top of the foot, and the two ends make a point. 67 There are also two leather threads, which they wrap on their feet.

68 While they are talking on their way, they see Mustafa the Mighty. 69 When they draw near, Mihran says to brother Manug, "O brother Manug, 70 that man is a spy; we must change our speech." 71 They say hello to Mustafa the Mighty and pass by. 72 When they pass Veri-Galeren, [some] young boys are there playing games. 73 When they see them going by, one of them says, "When I grow up, I want to be like brother Xachatur." 74 "I want to be like Garabed." 75 And what about Mihran, don't you [have anything] to say [about him]? 76 Who, Mihran? 77 How many wounds does Mihran have? 78 Seven. 79 Xachatur has thirteen. 80 This Sunday, when the merchants come, I will sell them two kilos of beehives. 81 Ahmed the Blind has a six-shot rifle; I think he'll take seven pennies. 82 It also includes the cartridge.

**83** After passing Veri-Galeren, brother Manug says to the others, "You aren't singing a song, brothers!" **84** At this they all join in:

The brave Aslanbegi tightens his belt He has no sense of humor He [just] draws his knife and strikes

**85** With this they come to the Ghushləxəs valley, and assemble near Kardag. **86** Brother Xachatur says, "Although you don't need advice, I have two words for brother Peter and Senekerim. **87** Stay under the charcoal trees. **88** If you hear two rifle shots, take the horses and come. **89** If you hear one shot, mount the horses and go."

**90** Peter and Senekerim take the horses and go under the charcoal trees. **91** They let loose the horses in the field, and they go to lie down and stretch out. **92** The others set out. **93** After going some distance, Xachatur says, "Why are you sleeping? **94** The trail splits into two; **95** I will take Mihran and go this way, and you two take the other road. **96** M: Where will we meet each other tonight? **97** X: We will wait for each other at the base of the chestnut tree.

**98** After Manug and Garabed have gone five or ten steps, they lose the trail. **99** M: The[se] men are clever, friend. They did it skillfully. **100** G: What are you talking about? **101** Let's go back. **102** M: No. Come, let's sit down here. **103** They stick the rifles between their feet, and lie down. **104** Manug says to Garabed: Put your ear to the ground for a second. **105** Footsteps are coming from the forest. **106** Garabed listens carefully. **107** Yes, a voice is coming, my friend. **108** It's not clear where it's coming from. **109** The voices are growing louder; they are Laz boys—**110** it's coming from the valley. **111** G: Wait, brother. **112** He puts his ear to the ground. **113** Brother, they're approaching us—why are we still here? **114** Take the rifle in your hand. **115** They take the rifles, and go down to the valley. **116** When they see them, Garabed cocks the trigger. **117** G: Surrender! **118** Laz 1: To who? To who? **119** Laz 2: He's an Aslanbegi! **120** Laz 1: Don't say you're a friend. **121** Laz 2: He knows his job.

122 After this speech, the Laz go in the middle, carrying the baskets. 123 Manug draws near. 124 Oh no, wise guy, is it you? he says. 125 He wants a little bread. When he says 'I don't have any', he opens the lid of the basket, and sees that it contains grapes. 126 Hey, Garabed. 127 Didn't I tell you? 128 The reason we didn't go. 129 The[se] men are clever, I said. 130 You know? 131 These are uncle John's grapes—I recognize the bunches. 132 They knew that we would follow their trail, [so] they hid in the forest. 133 Now they've come to take them away.

133 Garabed throws a rifle to both of them, one after the other. 134 The Laz begin to tremble. 135 A little bit later the horses come. 136 Manug says, "Lift the grapes onto the horse. 137 Garabed, get on one of the horses and find the guys." 138 G: Brother, what will I do with the horse? 139 Can a horse walk in these mountains? 140 XX 141 M: I didn't say 'mount the horse'. 142 Whatever must be will be. 143 If it's necessary, the riderless horse won't come. 144 Garabed goes and finds the guys, and sees that the two Laz horses are approaching laden with grain, at Manug's side. 145 Manug says, "Guys, shall we give them a real beating? 146 We took our share; give it and let them go. 147 These men go and speak to the man. 148 The Laz leave, and they also return to [their] village. 149 Brother Xachatur says, "begin the song!" 150 The guys begin:

You strike once, and we strike twice Sorry! Sorry! Sorry!

# **5.3.** Notes

2 *er əlla* 'greetings'--see section 4.

**3** *Ovagem* 'John'--it is unclear how to derive this form from standard *ovhann* $\bar{e}s$ .  $ek^{h}i$ -in 'vineyard-GEN'--cl. *aygi. maslahat-* $\partial$  --Turkish *maslahat* 'business, affair'.

**4** *aday* 'such'--MWA *adang*. *laf*--Turkish 'talk'. *həmã*--Turkish *ama* 'but'. *əri* --dialectal *arit* 'cause, reason' (q.v. Malxaseanc).  $\check{c}^{h}iydim$ --MEA  $\check{c}^{h}-gitem$  'I don't know'; note that a form of MWA  $\check{c}^{h}-em$  kider is not used.

5  $\ddot{a}$ -MWA  $\bar{e}$  'is', as in many dialects.  $d\bar{a}$ -Turkish de 'and'.  $\ddot{a}n\bar{a}g\ddot{u}y$ -MWA  $ang\bar{e}$  'therefore' (literally 'from that').  $g\ddot{o}drd\ddot{a}r$ -MWA godrder 'broken'.

**6** *jü*--common dialectal *jo* 'you (vocative)'.  $\partial b\partial$ --Turkish *aba* 'then'. *iš*--MWA *inč*<sup>h</sup> 'what'. *gaynär*--MWA *gener* 'waiting' <— *genal* 'stop, stay'.

7 *izə*-Turkish *iz* 'footprint'; + *ial* (= *er al*) with the idiomatic meaning 'follow'.  $i\tilde{a}nk^{h}$ --MWA *ertank*<sup>h</sup> 'let's go'.

8  $\ddot{a}m\check{j}-\ddot{u}n$  'paternal uncle-GEN', < Turkish  $am\check{j}(a)$ ; it is unclear why the vowels have been fronted; note that it is placed in the second declension.

9 ilen--Turkish ile(n) 'with'.

**10** ergü ha begirji 'two stableboys'--ha = MWA had 'piece, unit', q.v. 2.3; begirji = Turkish ?? 'stableboy' . jarim--??dialectal 'find'.

11 g ia--MWA g erta(y) 'he goes'.

**16**  $\check{c}^{h}\ddot{u}fd\ddot{a}n$  'rifle'--Turkish ??

**18** *mar* --**MWA** *mar* 'man'.

**19** *a*  $g\ddot{a}$  --MWA  $adg\bar{e}$  'jumps'; it is not clear why the  $\ddot{a}$  is nasalized here.  $\ddot{a}m\ddot{u}z$ - $\partial$ --Turkish *omuz* 'shoulder'; note the borrowing of Turkish *o* as  $\ddot{a}$  before nasal. *gene*--Turkish 'again, moreover'.  $\partial j\partial b$ --Turkish *acaba* 'I wonder if'. *išna*--MWA *ič*<sup>h</sup> $n\bar{e}$  'descends'; note the change to the -*a*- conjugation and lack of expected nasalization on the *a*.

**20** *a bar*--MWA y*esp*<sup>*h*</sup>*ayr* 'brother'; note the *b* for expected  $p^{h}$ .

**21** as jü--MWA asdujo(y) 'god-GEN'.  $k^h \partial ni$ --MWA  $k^h ani$  'how many'. saha en--Turkish saat-ten 'hour-ABL'

**22** *Bayfän--T ahve* + ?? 'cafe'; note the borrowing of as *B*, which is quite common in Turkish loanwords. Pisowicz (1993) has argued convincingly that all such words are borrowed from eastern Anatolian Turkic dialects, which regularly show the development > B.

24 ša --MWA šad 'much'. ziyanü in--Turkish ziyan 'damage' + Armenian abstract noun suffix -u iwn.

**25** havösnärə--MWA asosnerə 'the grapes'. üdelnin--MWA udel 'eat' + ??an unknown suffix. hade--??'good'. zarar--Turkish 'damage'; +  $\check{c}^{h}$ üni = MWA vnas  $\check{c}^{h}$  uni 'no problem' (literally, 'it has no problem'), cf. Uzbek zarar yo .

**26 XXXXXXXX** 

**28**  $haz \partial r$ --Turkish haz r 'ready'

XXXXXXXXXX

*gü kãm* 'I am coming'--note the separation of *gü*, which is not normal in this text; cf. 42, 48, 54, 136, 145, 71, 81, 85, 88, 91, 105, 107

41 *wayfen* 'cafe'--note variation from *wayfän* (22).

43  $g\ddot{o}di$ --note voicing of expected (=MWA)  $k^{h}odi$  'belt'

**45** note no nasalization in *g imanã*; *janəm öʁül* 'my dear boy'--Turkish *jan* (< Persian), *oğul* 'son'.

**50** *jüʁä* 'answer'--Turkish *cevap*.

**51** beßinÀi '[wife of the] fifth [brother]', from Turkish beßinÀi 'fifth. Notice the different treatment of geminate *-ll-* in  $g \ ell\tilde{a}$  (cf.  $\partial l \ l \ a, b \ l \ laj$ ).

52  $\check{c}^{h}\ddot{u}fd\ddot{a}$ -när- $\vartheta$  'the rifles'--unexpected plural?? NB not from Turkish

54 strange use of singular verbs with plural subject 'tassels'

62 metathesis *lvan* --> *vlan* 

63  $\partial l \, l\tilde{a}$ -apparently a mistake for  $\partial l \, l \, \tilde{a}$  (cf. 61), but note  $b \, l \, laj$ .

64  $\partial sass$ —Though the standard Armenian form has a schwa ([ $\partial sac\partial s$ ]), the assimilation here ( $\partial sass < \partial saz-s < \partial saj-s$ ) would appear to indicate that no schwa intervenes between the two consonants. Unfortunately, Ačarean is inconsistent in his (non)representation of schwa, so we cannot tell one way or the other.

65 *aj-ü*—'goat-GEN'; MWA *ayj* 

**68**  $amp^h \tilde{a}n$   $\ddot{o}ra$   $\ddot{a}l\ddot{a}n$  ialn  $ik^h \ddot{a}n$  'while travelling and chatting'--a hybrid construction using the Turkish gerundive *iken*, eg. *hasta-yken* 'while I was sick', *gel-ir-ken* 'while I was coming'. **69** *a b rila*--note the different stress; cf. also 70  $p^h o$  *írila*.

**69** *a b ril* $\partial$ -note the different stress; cf. also  $/0 p^{\circ}o$  *iril* $\partial$ . **72** *use d a*. Turkich ushit 'time' ( $\langle A rahis usht \rangle + definite article$ 

72 *va d-∂--*Turkish *vakit* 'time' (< Arabic *wakt*) + definite article; note for *k*, as discussed in section 4; presumably this was pronounced [*va t*], not [*va d*], as Ačařean's transcription implies.

**80**  $t\ddot{u}jar-n\ddot{a}r-\vartheta$  'the merchants' < T  $t\ddot{u}ccar$  'merchant' < Arabic;  $k^{h}ila$  'bushel' < T kile < A *keyl*; oza 'cocoon' < T *koza* 'silk cocoon', note non-umlauted o.

**81**  $k^{h}\ddot{o}r$  'blind' < T  $k\ddot{o}r$  < P kur (NB also > CA koyr); Ammedin  $k^{h}\ddot{o}v$  'chez Ahmed'; ves nedös 'six-shooter';  $\ddot{o}$  də jermagi güda äsär--SWA yeser 'it seems', formally an imperfect 3d singular of 'be', but functions like Turkish dubitative -ym š.

86 *ilän* 'with, and'--Turkish *ile*, Uzbek *bilän < bu ilän* (cf. T *olmak* = U *bolma* ).

89  $\ddot{o}r mey m \partial p^h at\ddot{a} n \partial \tilde{a}$ ,  $\ddot{a}r \partial g \partial hezna$  'mount'--note lack of nasalization in the *a*.

**90**  $d\ddot{o}$ -n '(the) Peter'--cf. standard Armenian hypocoristic *Peto < Petros*, but note loss of *p*-here;  $\ddot{u} = enek^{h}erim\partial$   $i\ddot{a}r\partial g = a\dot{r}nin \, \varkappa ara \varkappa a \ddot{z}n\ddot{a}r\ddot{u}n \, dag\partial$  --note lack of coordinating conjunction, as in Turkic languages.

93 er al > ial.

**97** esdəneyin a  $p^h$ ürə 'source, fountain'-classical *ałbiwr*; note the aspirated  $p^h$  and the assimilated . *irarü gə be leyink*<sup>h</sup> 'we (will) wait for each other'--T *beklemek* 'wait for, expect'; again note the use of a Turkish verb root with Armenian inflection, as in 84, 135, 150 *bašlayin*, 149 *bašlayecäk*<sup>h</sup>.

98 ge i neyim--note the use of neyim 'look' (MWA nayim) as an intensifier after imperatives; cf. Turkish bakal m, Japanese xx.

**104** Meyma angaj 'your ear'--Ačarean appears to have forgotten the  $\partial$  in what must be pronounced [angaj $\partial t$ ]; note that we do not get the expected \*anga $\check{c}^{\hbar}$  hökin tir.

**105** *vörəin meč<sup>h</sup>en jivü cãn mə gük<sup>h</sup>əa* 'is coming'--note the aspirated  $k^h$  and *əa* diphthong (cf. 39, 42, 48, 54, 85, 88, 91, 107, 136, 145 *gük<sup>h</sup>a*)

**108** beylü 'clear'--Persian  $xx.(< p\bar{e}d g?)$ 

**118**  $k^{h}im\ddot{o} \ k^{h}im\ddot{o} < T$ . *kime kime*, dative of *kim* 'who'; the  $\ddot{o}$  is odd, as it does not clearly correspond to the Turkish dative *-e* or the Armenian dative *-u*. (It presumably results from assimilation to the preceding labial consonant.)

- *ši bilir* 'he knows his job'--T *iš-i* 'work-acc' + *bil-ir* 'know-3sg aorist'
- $\check{c}^{h}\ddot{u}f d \ddot{a}n$ --the *d* has been omitted in the original text.
- $n\ddot{a}$ --note variant from normal  $n\partial\tilde{a}$ .
- *misir*--we should expect *məsər* from Turkish *m s r*.

### References

# Ačařean, Hrač<sup>h</sup>ea

- 1898 *nnutiwn Aslanbēgi Barbai* [Study of the Aslanbeg Dialect]. *Bazmavēp* 56.
- 1911 Hayeren Gawaiakan Baiaran [Armenian Dialect Dictionary].
- 1927 Hayerēn cackagrer [Armenian Ciphers]. Handēs Amsōreay 75-209.
- 1973 *Hayeren Armatakan Baiaran* [Armenian Root Dictionary], vol. 2. Erevan: Erevani Hamalsarani Hratarakč<sup>h</sup>ut<sup>h</sup>yun. Printed version of 1927 manuscript, also published in Erevan.

### Dumézil, Georges

- 1964 *otes sur le parler d un Arménien musulman de Hemšin.* Mémoires de l'Académie Royale de Belgique 7.4.
- 196x *otes sur le parler d un arménien musulman d Ardala (Vilayet de ize).* Révue des Études Arméniennes.
- 1968 Trois écits dans le parler des Arméniens Musulmans de Hemšin.
- 1968 e parler Arménien de Vakifli.
- 1968 Textes en arménien de Vakifli (Musa Daği). Révue des Études Arméniennes.

### Halle, Morris

1995 "Feature geometry and feature spreading," *Linguistic Inquiry*.

### Hayes, Bruce

1986 "Inalterability in CV Phonology," Language 62.2.

# ÔahuÏyan, Gevorg

1972 Hay Barbaragi u yan era u yun [Introduction to Armenian Dialectology]. Erevan.

# Lieberman, Philip and Sheila Blumstein

1988 Speech physiology, speech perception, and acoustic phonetics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

## Malxaseanc, Step<sup>h</sup>an

1944 *Hayerēn Ba a rak<sup>h</sup>an Baiaran* [Armenian Explanatory Dictionary]. Erevan: Erevan State University Press.

## Maxudianz, Mesrob

1911 Le Parler Arménien d'Akn. Paris: Librairie Paul Geuthner.

## Muradyan, H.

19xx Barask<sup>h</sup>zbi <u>ho</u>  $hnc^hyunak^hap^hak^h$  u yan h-i barbarayin hamap<sup>h</sup>a as an-u yunnerə [Dialectal correspondences of h in initial ho- clusters]. Lraber.

#### **Pisowicz**, Andrzej

1993 "How Did New Persian and Arabic Words Penetrate the Middle Armenian Vocabulary?" In Jos Weitenberg, ed., *New Approaches to Medieval Armenian Language and Literature*. Amsterdam: Rodopi.

### Prieto, Pilar

1993 "The PA effect of coronals on vowels in Romance," *Linguistic Perspectives on the Romance Languages*, M. Mithun, G. Perissinotto, and E. Raposo, eds., pp. 85-96. Amsterdam: Benjamins.

#### Vaux, Bert

- 1992 "Gemination and Syllabic Integrity in Sanskrit," Journal of Indo-European Studies.
- 1993 ATR Harmony in the Altaic Languages. *Proceedings of the Eighth International Non-Slavic Languages Conference*, Howard Aronson, ed. Chicago: Chicago Linguistic Society.